

Inheritance of Leaf Shape in Coleus

Ya-Ting Shiu and Der-Ming Yeh* **Department of Horticulture and Landscape Architecture, National Taiwan University** *E-mail: dmyeh@ntu.edu.tw

Abstract

Coleus [Solenostemon scutellariodes (L.) Codd.], with various leaf forms and shapes, can be used extensively for outdoor landscaping and decoration. Crosses between commercial cultivars were conducted to understand leaf shape inheritance of Coleus. Progeny from selfing or crossing cultivars with normal leaf width were all normal width, except that progeny from selfing 'Cardinal' and 'Defiance' resulted in a 3:1 ratio (normal: narrow). These results indicated that leaf width characteristic was controlled by a single locus, while normal width (W) is dominant to narrow width (W). Progeny from crossing between shallow-lobed cultivars all had shallow-lobed leaves, while crossing between deep-lobed cultivars resulted in a 3:1 or 1: 0 ratio (deep-lobe: shallow-lobe). Progeny from crossing between deep-lobed and shallow-lobed leaf cultivars segregated in a 1:1 ratio (deep-lobe: shallow-lobe). These suggested that leaf margin trait was controlled by a single locus, while deep-lobe (L) is dominant to shallow-lobe (I). Cultivars with crinkled surface were crossed, and progeny fit a 3:1 ratio (crinkled: smooth). Crossing between smooth leaf cultivars produced all smooth-leaved seedlings. Progeny from crossing between crinkled and smooth cultivars all had crinkled leaves. These suggested that leaf surface trait was governed by a single locus. Crinkled surface (C) is dominant to smooth surface (c). Crossing between regular-vein cultivars, between anastomosis cultivars, and between anastomosis and regular-vein cultivars fit a 0:1, 3:1 and 1:0 ratio (anastomosis: regular-vein) respectively in progeny. This indicated that leaf vein trait was governed by a single locus, and anastomosis vein (G) is dominant to regular vein (g).

Materials and Methods



Introduction

Coleus [Solenostemon scutellariodes (L.) Codd.] is used extensively in landscaping and as potted plant in tropical and subtropical areas. The ornamental value of Coleus is determined primarily by leaf characteristics. Many cultivars of Coleus are available in a board range of leaf shapes. The objective of this study was to understand the mode of inheritance of leaf width, lobe, surface and vein, and to infer the genotype of important Coleus cultivars for leaf shape.

_eaf	Width	

Table 1. Coleus progeny leaf width segregation ratios (normal: narrow) for cultivar crosses. W_{-} = normal width; *ww* = narrow width

	Leaf	[:] width	Total	Expected ratio	X ²		Crosses (proposed genotype)	Leaf margin		_			
Crosses (proposed genotype)	Normal	Narrow				Probability		Deep	Shallow	Total	Expected ratio	X ²	Probability
Cardinal (<i>Ww</i>) \otimes	34	12	46	3: 1	0.290	0.865	Defiance (<i>II</i>) \otimes	0	29	29	0: 1	0	1
Defiance (<i>Ww</i>) ⊗	23	6	29	3: 1	0.287	0.592	Fiesta (<i>II</i>) ⊗	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1
Fiesta (<i>WW</i>) ⊗	27	0	27	1: 0	0	1	Wizard Jade (<i>II</i>) × Fiesta (<i>II</i>)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (<i>WW</i>) × Fiesta (<i>WW</i>)	14	0	14	1: 0	0	1	New Hurricane (LI) \times Green Cloud (LI)	13	4	17	3: 1	0.020	0.889
New Hurricane (WW) × Green Cloud (WW	/) 17	0	17	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) × Cardinal (<i>II</i>)	13	14	27	1: 1	0.037	0.847
Norris (WW) × Cardinal (WW)	27	0	27	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) \times Carefree (<i>LL</i>)	6	3	9	3: 1	-	-
Norris (WW) × Carefree (WW)	9	0	9	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) × Wizard Jade (<i>II</i>)	5	11	16	1: 1	2.25	0.134
Norris (WW) × Wizard Jade (WW)	16	0	16	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) × The Line (<i>II</i>)	3	3	6	1: 1	-	-
Norris (WW) × The Line (WW)	6	0	6	1: 0	0	1	Wizard Jade (<i>II</i>) × Fiesta (<i>II</i>)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (WW) × Fiesta (WW)	14	0	14	1:0	0	1		-					
Lear Surrace Table 3. Coleus progeny leaf surface segure crinkled; cc = smooth	regation ra	atios (crinl	kled: sn	nooth) for c	ultivar ci	rosses. <i>C</i> _ =	Lear vern Table 4. Coleus progeny leaf vein segre irregular; gg = regular	gation rat	ios (irregu	ular: re	gular) for cu	ultivar c	rosses. G_ =
	Leaf surface							Lea	lf vein				
Crosses (proposed genotype)	Crinkled	Smooth	Total	Expected ratio	X ²	Probability	Crosses (proposed genotype)	Irregular	Regula	r Tota	Expected ratio	X ²	Probability
Defiance (<i>cc</i>) \otimes	0	29	29	0: 1	0	1	Defiance (gg) \otimes	0	29	29	0: 1	0	1
Fiesta (<i>cc</i>) ⊗	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1	Fiesta (<i>gg</i>) ⊗	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (cc) × Fiesta (cc)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1	Wizard Jade (gg) × Fiesta (gg)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1
New Hurricane (Cc) × Green Cloud (Cc)	12	5	17	3: 1	0.176	0.674	New Hurricane (Gg) × Green Cloud (Gg)	13	4	17	3: 1	0.020	0.889
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × Cardinal (<i>cc</i>)	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1	Norris (gg) \times Cardinal (gg)	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × Carefree (<i>CC</i>)	9	0	9	1: 0	0	1	Norris (gg) × Carefree (GG)	9	0	9	1: 0	0	1
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × Wizard Jade (<i>cc</i>)	0	16	16	0: 1	0	1	Norris (gg) × Wizard Jade (gg)	0	16	16	0: 1	0	1
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × The Line (<i>cc</i>)	0	6	6	0: 1	0	1	Norris (gg) \times The Line (gg)	0	6	6	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (cc) × Fiesta (cc)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1	Wizard Jade (qq) × Fiesta (qq)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1

Leaf Margin

Table 2. Coleus progeny leaf margin segregation ratios (deep: shallow) for cultivar crosses. L_{-} = deep lobed; *II* = shallow lobed

	Leaf	width			d X ²		Crosses (proposed genotype)	Leaf	margin				
Crosses (proposed genotype)	Normal	Narrow	v Total	I Expected ratio		Probability		Deep	Shallow	Total	Expected ratio	X ²	Probability
Cardinal (<i>Ww</i>) \otimes	34	12	46	3: 1	0.290	0.865	Defiance (<i>II</i>) \otimes	0	29	29	0: 1	0	1
Defiance (<i>Ww</i>) ⊗	23	6	29	3: 1	0.287	0.592	Fiesta (<i>II</i>) ⊗	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1
Fiesta (<i>WW</i>) ⊗	27	0	27	1: 0	0	1	Wizard Jade (<i>II</i>) × Fiesta (<i>II</i>)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (WW) × Fiesta (WW)	14	0	14	1: 0	0	1	New Hurricane (LI) \times Green Cloud (LI)	13	4	17	3: 1	0.020	0.889
New Hurricane (WW) × Green Cloud (WW	/) 17	0	17	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) × Cardinal (<i>II</i>)	13	14	27	1: 1	0.037	0.847
Norris (WW) × Cardinal (WW)	27	0	27	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) × Carefree (<i>LL</i>)	6	3	9	3: 1	-	-
Norris (WW) × Carefree (WW)	9	0	9	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) × Wizard Jade (<i>II</i>)	5	11	16	1: 1	2.25	0.134
Norris (WW) × Wizard Jade (WW)	16	0	16	1: 0	0	1	Norris (<i>LI</i>) × The Line (<i>II</i>)	3	3	6	1: 1	-	-
Norris (WW) × The Line (WW)	6	0	6	1: 0	0	1	Wizard Jade (<i>II</i>) × Fiesta (<i>II</i>)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (WW) × Fiesta (WW)	14	0	14	1: 0	0	1	Crosses between shellow lebed cultive	$r \sim - r$	agation ra	tio O	1 (doop: al		abad)
Lear Surface Table 3. Coleus progeny leaf surface seg crinkled; cc = smooth	regation ra	atios (crinl	kled: sn	nooth) for c	ultivar ci	rosses. <i>C</i> _ =	Lear Vein Table 4. Coleus progeny leaf vein segre irregular: qq = regular	gation rat	ios (irregu	ular: re	gular) for cu	ultivar c	rosses. G_ =
,	Leaf surface						Leaf vein						
- Crosses (proposed genotype)	Crinkled	Smooth	Total	Expected ratio	X ²	Probability	Crosses (proposed genotype)	Irregular	Regula	r Tota	Expected ratio	X ²	Probability
Defiance (<i>cc</i>) ⊗	0	29	29	0: 1	0	1	Defiance (<i>gg</i>) ⊗	0	29	29	0: 1	0	1
Fiesta (<i>cc</i>) ⊗	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1	Fiesta (<i>gg</i>) ⊗	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (cc) × Fiesta (cc)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1	Wizard Jade (gg) × Fiesta (gg)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1
New Hurricane (<i>Cc</i>) × Green Cloud (<i>Cc</i>)	12	5	17	3: 1	0.176	0.674	New Hurricane (Gg) × Green Cloud (Gg)	13	4	17	3: 1	0.020	0.889
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × Cardinal (<i>cc</i>)	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1	Norris (gg) \times Cardinal (gg)	0	27	27	0: 1	0	1
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × Carefree (<i>CC</i>)	9	0	9	1: 0	0	1	Norris (gg) × Carefree (GG)	9	0	9	1: 0	0	1
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × Wizard Jade (<i>cc</i>)	0	16	16	0: 1	0	1	Norris (gg) × Wizard Jade (gg)	0	16	16	0: 1	0	1
Norris (<i>cc</i>) × The Line (<i>cc</i>)	0	6	6	0: 1	0	1	Norris (gg) × The Line (gg)	0	6	6	0: 1	0	1
Wizard Jade (cc) × Fiesta (cc)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1	Wizard Jade (qq) × Fiesta (qq)	0	14	14	0: 1	0	1

 $\langle \mathbf{U}\mathbf{U} \rangle$

surface (c).

► Crosses between smooth surface cultivars ⇒ segregation ratio = 0: 1 (crinkled: smooth surface) • Crosses between crinkled surface cultivars \Rightarrow segregation ratio = 3: 1 (crinkled: smooth surface) ► Crosses between crinkled and smooth surface cultivars ⇒ segregation ratio = 1:0 (crinkled: smooth surface)

→Crinkled surface (Fig. 1 E) is dominant to smooth surface (Fig. 1 F)

(33) (33)

• Crosses between regular vein cultivars \Rightarrow segregation ratio = 0: 1 (irregular: regular vein) • Crosses between irregular vein cultivars \Rightarrow segregation ratio = 3: 1 (irregular: regular vein) • Crosses between irregular and regular vein cultivars \Rightarrow segregation ratio = 1:0 (irregular: regular vein) \rightarrow Irregular vein (Fig. 1 G) is dominant to regular vein (Fig. 1 H)

Conclusions

Leaf width is governed by a single locus, and normal width (W) is dominant to narrow width (w). Leaf surface is governed by a single locus, and crinkled surface (C) is dominant to smooth Leaf lobe is governed by a single locus, and deep lobed (L) is dominant to shallow lobed (I). Leaf vein is governed by a single locus, and irregular vein (G) is dominant to regular vein (g).