



Examining Various Phytochemical Attributes of Southern Highbush and Rabbiteye Blueberry Genotypes

Rachel A. Itle¹, D. Scott NeSmith¹, Daniel L. Jackson², Jordan T. Burbage², and Parshall B. Bush²

¹Department of Horticulture, University of Georgia, 1109 Experiment Street, Griffin, GA 30223.

²Agricultural and Environmental Services Laboratories, University of Georgia, 2300 College Station Road, Athens, GA 30602.

Summary

Georgia blueberry production includes both rabbiteye (*V. virgatum* Aiton), sold as fresh and frozen, and southern highbush (species complex between *Vaccinium corymbosum* L. and *V. darrowii* Camp) sold primarily as fresh earlier in the season. The main objective of this study was to examine various phytochemical attributes of varieties grown in Georgia. Fruit were harvested at ca. 50% ripe from nine southern highbush and seven rabbiteye blueberry genotypes grown at the University of Georgia Blueberry Research Farm near Alapaha, GA during 2014. Southern highbush genotypes included 'Camellia', 'Emerald', 'Farthing', 'Legacy', 'Meadowlark', 'Rebel', and 'Star', and two advanced breeding selections: TH-1111 and TH-1125. Rabbiteye genotypes included 'Alapaha', 'Brightwell', 'Ochlockonee', 'Powderblue', 'Premier', 'Tiffblue', and 'Vernon'. Fruit were frozen for seven to eight months before chemical analyses. Measurements included total titratable acids (TTA), soluble solids content ($^{\circ}$ brix), total monomeric anthocyanin concentration (mg/L cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalents), sugars, organic acids, antioxidants, and sugar acid ratio ($^{\circ}$ brix/TTA and total sugars/total acids).

Overall, southern highbush genotypes were significantly higher ($P<0.05$) for total titratable acids (0.69% vs. 0.43%), and rabbiteye genotypes were significantly higher for soluble solids (13.4% vs. 11.6%) and sugar acid ratio ($^{\circ}$ brix/TTA) (34.5 vs. 23.3). Sucrose was significantly higher in rabbiteyes (2.54 mg/g vs. 0.10 mg/g), and rabbiteyes were significantly higher for three of the six organic acids (oxalic, succinic, and malic acid) and were over 60% higher for total acids (12.2 mg/g vs. 7.5 mg/g). For antioxidants, rabbiteyes were 23% higher for catechin (39.7 μ g/g vs. 32.3 μ g/g), two times higher for caffeic acid (108.6 μ g/g vs. 52.7 μ g/g), over four times higher for quercetin (7,831 μ g/g vs. 1,743 μ g/g), 50% higher for ferulic acid (33.0 μ g/g vs. 22.0 μ g/g) and 37% higher for total antioxidants (20,482 μ g/g vs. 14,934 μ g/g). Results from this study show variation within genotypes for fruit quality and suggest that rabbiteye varieties are quite high in many important compounds. Future studies will be conducted to determine the effect of fruit quality across years and in consumer perception.

Fruit Collection and Traits Measured

Fruit Collection: Fruit from early, mid and late season ripening genotypes of 9 southern highbush (SHB), including 2 breeding selections, and 9 rabbiteye (RE), were collected from the UGA Blueberry Research Farm. Fruit were harvested from 3 plants (reps) per genotype when approximately 50% ripe, from May – July 2014. Fruit were packed in coolers, and transported back to UGA Griffin campus, Griffin, GA. Fruit were stored in freezer bags at approximately -15 $^{\circ}$ C until processing.

Juice Extraction and Traits Evaluated: Frozen berries were thawed, and juice was extracted from 50+/- 1.0g of fruit. Titratable acids (TTA) (citric acid equivalents) were measured using a 0.01M NaOH solution to an endpoint titration of pH=8.1. Soluble solids content ($^{\circ}$ brix) were measured using a Digital Hand-held Pocket Refractometer. Total monomeric anthocyanin concentration (mg/L cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalents), sugars, organic acids, antioxidants, and sugar acid ratio ($^{\circ}$ brix/TTA and total sugars/total acids), as well as total sugar, organic acid, and antioxidant content were determined.

Data Analyses: Data were analyzed using PROC GLM in SAS (v.9.4) to examine genotype and type differences. Genotype differences were examined using the Tukey HSD ($P\leq 0.05$).

Results: $^{\circ}$ Brix and TTA

Table 1. Percent juice^A, total titratable acids (TTA), and soluble solids ($^{\circ}$ brix) in nine southern highbush (SHB) and seven rabbiteye (RE) genotypes harvested from Alapaha, GA in 2014.

Genotype	N	% Juice	TTA	pH	$^{\circ}$ Brix	$^{\circ}$ Brix/TTA	
SHB	Camellia	3	27.2BDC ^B	0.88BA	3.8CEBD	11.3EGF	12.9G
	Emerald	3	42.2BAC	1.06A	3.8CEBD	11.9EGDF	11.3G
	Farthing	2	33.3BDAC	0.57FBEDC	3.8CEBD	12.2EDF	22.1FGED
	Legacy	3	23.3DC	0.87BAC	3.9CBD	10.6G	13.5G
	Meadowlark	3	35.3BDAC	0.31FE	4.5A	12.2EDF	40.3BDC
	Rebel	3	19.3DC	0.22F	4.6A	13.1BDC	61.6A
	Star	3	23.9DC	0.58BEDC	4.1B	11.4EGF	19.7FGE
	TH-1111	3	53.9A	0.65BDC	4.0CB	11.3EGF	17.5FG
	TH-1125	3	29.6BDC	1.00A	3.8CEBD	10.8GF	11.0G
RE	Alapaha	3	20.2DC	0.36FED	3.9CBD	13.9BAC	38.4BEDC
	Brightwell	3	49.3BA	0.29FE	4.0CB	14.5BA	51.3BA
	Ochlockonee	3	29.1BDC	0.33FED	3.7CED	14.9A	45.4BAC
	Powderblue	3	27.2BDC	0.54FEDC	3.5E	14.9A	27.9FGEDC
	Premier	3	18.8D	0.56FBEDC	3.6ED	11.2GF	22.1FGED
	Tiffblue	3	56.9A	0.62BEDC	3.7CED	12.6EDC	20.5FGE
	Vernon	3	36.9BDAC	0.33FED	3.7CED	11.9EGDF	36.2FBEDC
SHB	26	32.0A	0.69A	4.0A	11.6B	23.3B	
RE	21	34.0A	0.43B	3.7B	13.4A	34.5A	

^A % Juice of fruit (mL of juice / g fresh weight).

^B % Differences examined using the Tukey HSD ($P\leq 0.05$).

Results: Sugars, Acids, Anthocyanins and Antioxidants

Table 2. Sugars^A and relative sweetness^B in nine southern highbush (SHB) and seven rabbiteye (RE) genotypes harvested from Alapaha, GA in 2014.

Genotype	N	Sucrose	Glucose	Fructose	Total Sugar	Total Sugar/ Total Acid ^A	Relative Sweetness	
SHB	Camellia	3	0.03D ^C	15.1BC	15.8DC	30.9DC	4.9A	39.0BC
	Emerald	3	0.04D	22.1BAC	21.8BDAC	44.0BDAC	3.5A	54.9BAC
	Farthing	2	0.01D	19.5BAC	20.5BDAC	40.0BDAC	5.7A	50.6BAC
	Legacy	3	0.02D	19.5BAC	12.9D	25.7D	4.2A	32.1C
	Meadowlark	3	0.42D	12.1C	19.8BDC	39.7BDC	6.8A	49.7BC
	Rebel	3	0.02D	12.3C	13.2D	25.3D	5.0A	41.4BC
	Star	3	0.26D	27.9BA	13.0D	25.6D	5.8A	32.2C
	TH-1111	3	0.05D	13.3C	14.2DC	56.2BAC	4.0A	32.3C
	TH-1125	3	0.03D	11.9C	12.6D	27.5DC	4.3A	70.5BA
RE	Alapaha	3	1.69CD	32.4A	35.0A	26.3DC	13.3A	34.8BC
	Brightwell	3	2.78CB	12.8C	16.3DC	70.1BA	4.8A	32.7BC
	Ochlockonee	3	1.33CD	15.5BC	17.8DC	33.1DC	2.5A	88.2A
	Powderblue	3	3.31B	17.0BC	8.8D	38.0DC	2.5A	47.1BC
	Premier	3	0.02D	8.1C	28.3BAC	16.9D	2.4A	21.5C
	Tiffblue	3	7.21A	32.3A	32.8BA	72.3A	3.4A	88.8A
	Vernon	3	1.42CD	11.7C	13.4DC	26.5DC	3.9A	33.7BC
SHB	26	0.10B	17.1A	17.6A	34.8A	4.9A	43.8A	
RE	21	2.54A	18.4A	19.5A	40.5A	4.7A	50.5A	

^A Values expressed in mg/g fresh weight.

^B Differences examined using the Tukey HSD ($P\leq 0.05$).

^C Relative sweetness=(sucrose*1)+(glucose*0.75)+(fructose*1.75).

Table 4. Total anthocyanins^A and antioxidants^B in nine southern highbush (SHB) and seven rabbiteye (RE) genotypes harvested from Alapaha, GA in 2014.

Genotype	N	Total Anthocyanins	Catechin	Caffeic Acid	Quercetin	Ferulic Acid	Total Antioxidants	
SHB	Camellia	3	131.9A ^C	32.7BDC	14.6C	796.8 E	13.3CD	9,438 DC
	Emerald	3	161.2A	34.8BDC	98.7BC	3,601.1 ED	24.8CBD	24,443 BAC
	Farthing	2	156.8A	40.3BDAC	14.3C	736.1 E	31.4CBD	11,908 BDC
	Legacy	3	.	23.8D	20.2C	1,457.8 E	17.2CD	9,037 DC
	Meadowlark	3	118.7A	35.3BDC	36.2C	856.9 E	28.0CBD	18,904 BDC
	Rebel	3	118.6A	23.1D	13.0C	516.2 E	5.6D	6,296 D
	Star	3	109.9A	20.6D	60.8BC	2,176.4 ED	15.0CD	9,238 DC
	TH-1111	3	114.2A	53.4BAC	136.2BAC	3,160.0 ED	44.5B	31,429A
	TH-1125	3	182.6A	29.0DC	64.0BC	1,979.7 E	20.9CBD	12,590 BDC
RE	Alapaha	3	147.6A	19.5D	31.3C	2,653.0 ED	21.2CBD	8,231 DC
	Brightwell	3	127.4A	59.6BA	125.9BAC	9,914.1 BAC	32.0CB	30,252A
	Ochlockonee	3	197.2A	30.3DC	230.9A	15,627.2 A	36.2CB	30,012 BA
	Powderblue	3	174.9A	39.9BDC	181.4BA	10,730.7 BA	77.4A	23,931 BAC
	Premier	3	115.1A	27.2DC	42.0C	3,992.5 EDC	13.7CD	7,541 D
	Tiffblue	3	192.9A	67.5A	99.0BC	8,350.7 BDC	34.0CB	27,971 BA
	Vernon	3	132.3A	33.9BDC	49.6C	3,549.4 ED	16.4CD	15,440 BDC
SHB	26	135.4A	32.3B	52.7B	1,743.5 B	22.0B	14,934 B	
RE	21	155.4A	39.7A	108.6A	7,831.1 A	33.0A	20,482 A	

^A Total monomeric anthocyanin concentration expressed in (mg/L cyanidin-3-glucoside equivalents).

^B Values expressed in μ g/g fresh weight.

^C Differences examined using the Tukey HSD ($P\leq 0.05$).

Table 3. Acids^A in nine southern highbush (SHB) and seven rabbiteye (RE) genotypes harvested from Alapaha, GA in 2014.

Genotype	N	Oxalic	Citric	Tartaric	Ascorbic	Succinic	Malic	Total Acids	
SHB	Camellia	3	0.0020EF ^B	2.36CB	0.10A	0.12CD	0.32C	0.20BAC	6.3DEC
	Emerald	3	0.0031EF	4.25A	0.15A	0.18BC	2.41BC	0.16BAC	12.5BDEC
	Farthing	2	0.0025EF	1.62CBD	0.09A	0.15BCD	1.38BC	0.07BAC	6.9BDEC
	Legacy	3	0.0017EF	0.95ED	0.21A	0.09CD	0.22C	0.05BC	5.8DE
	Meadowlark	3	0.0026EF	1.11ED	0.02A	0.16BCD	1.16BC	0.10BAC	5.9DEC
	Rebel	3	0.0014F	0.25E	0.07A	0.09CD	1.26BC	0.07BC	5.0DE
	Star	3	0.0018EF	1.22CED	0.01A	0.10CD	0.74BC	0.07BC	4.4E
	TH-1111	3	0.0040EDF	2.81B	0.13A	0.24BA	2.59BC	0.11BAC	14.3BAC
	TH-1125	3	0.0022EF	2.83B	0.10A	0.13CD	0.82BC	0.10BAC	6.5DEC
RE	Alapaha	3	0.0047EDF	0.09E	0.09A	0.07D	1.51BC	0.03C	5.3DE
	Brightwell	3	0.0141A	0.21E	0.08A	0.24BA	2.31BC	0.13BAC	15.0BA
	Ochlockonee	3	0.0083BDC	0.14E	0.02A	0.15BCD	3.94BA	0.16BAC	13.1BDAC
	Powderblue	3	0.0098BAC	0.19E	0.05A	0.13CD	6.46A	0.08BAC	15.3BA
	Premier	3	0.0065EDC	0.10E	0.03A	0.09D	1.41BC	0.27A	7.0BDEC
	Tiffblue	3	0.0115BA	0.35ED	0.10A	0.30A	6.48A	0.24BA	21.3A
	Vernon	3	0.0044EDF	0.23E	0.07A	0.12CD	4.27BA	0.08BAC	8.5BDEC
SHB	26	0.0024B	1.95A	0.10A	0.14A	1.21B	0.10B	7.5B	
RE	21	0.0085A	0.19B	0.06A	0.16A	3.77A	0.14A	12.2A	

^A Values expressed in mg/g fresh weight.

^B Differences examined using the Tukey HSD ($P\leq 0.05$).

Summary: Comparing SHBs to REs

- Overall there were significant differences for genotypes for nearly all traits examined (Tables 1-4).
- SHBs were higher than REs for TTA, and REs were higher than SHBs for $^{\circ}$ brix and $^{\circ}$ brix/TTA (Table 1).
- Sucrose was higher in REs, and SHBs and REs were not different for all other sugars, including relative sweetness (Table 2).
- REs were higher than SHBs for three of the six acids profiled and total acids. SHBs were higher than REs for citric acid. SHBs and REs were not different for tartaric acid and ascorbic acid (Table 3).
- REs were higher than SHBs for all four antioxidants examined, including total antioxidants (Table 4).



Acknowledgements

Project funding provided by the GA Blueberry Growers' Association. The authors thank Ellis Moncrief, Tyler Brannon, C. Shane Tawzer, Steven Hudson, and Earl Cook for growing and maintaining the plants used for this study and for help harvesting fruit; and Alex Debesse and Caleb Stephenson for help processing juice samples.