

MS AGRICULTURAL AND FORESTRY EXPERIMENT STATION

Nitrogen Form and Ratio Impact Swiss Chard (*Beta vulgaris* subsp. cicla) **Shoot Tissue Carotenoid and Chlorophyll Concentrations**

T. Casey Barickman¹ and Dean A. Kopsell²

¹Mississippi State University, North Mississippi Research and Extension Center, Verona, MS 38879 ²Department of Plant Sciences, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN.



Introduction

Swiss chard (Beta vulgaris subsp. cicla) is a leafy green rich in dietary antioxidants and commonly consumed fresh, frozen, or canned. The leaves and stalkscontain high quantities of chlorophyll (CHL) and carotenoid (CAR) pigments, and other nutrients such as vitamins A, C, and K.¹ Enhancement of these nutritive qualities by varying cultural practices, such as mineral nutrient levels, has become common practice in vegetable production systems. Nitrogen (N) metabolism is regulated by supply, demand for growth, and amino acid content in plant. Two forms of available N are mineralized from organic matter or inorganic fertilizers are ammonium (NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-), which influence growth, photosynthesis, yield, and quality. Nitrogen form and ratio will influence CAR and CHL concentrations in kale (*Brassica oleracea* var. acephala).² However, research is lacking on influences of N on CAR and CHL in the leaf tissue of Swiss chard. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the effects of N form and ratio on CAR and CHL concentrations in Swiss chard leaf tissue.

Materials and Methods

- Seeds of 'Rhubarb Chard' and 'Oriole Orange' Swiss chard were sown into 2.5 x 2.5-cm growing cubes, under greenhouse conditions and grown at 25/20 °C (day/night). At 21 d plantlets were transferred to 11-L containers filled with 10-L of nutrient solution. Nutrient solution consisted of elemental concentrations of (mg·L⁻¹): NO₃-N (98.0), NH₄-N (7.0), P (15.3), K (117.3), Ca (80.2), Mg (24.6), S (32.0), Fe (0.5), B (0.25), Mn (0.25), Zn (0.025), Cu (0.01), and Mo (0.005).
- Treatments consisted of N at 105 mg·L⁻¹ supplied as 1) 100% NH₄-N: 0% NO₃-N, 2) 75% NH₄-N: 25% NO₃-N, 3) 50% NH₄-N: 50% NO₃-N, 4) 25% NH₄-N: 75% NO₃-N, 5) 0% NH₄-N: 100% NO₃-N. NH₄-N was supplied as $((NH_4)_2SO_4)$ and NO₃-N was supplied as $(Ca(NO_3)_2)$ and potassium nitrate (KNO_3) . Plants were harvested at 54 d and fresh mass (FM), dry mass (DM), and plant height data were recorded.
- Swiss chard shoot tissue CHL and CAR concentrations were analyzed by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).

Table 1. Mean carotenoid and chlorophyll pigment concentrations expressed in fresh mass (mg/100 g) and biomass accumulation (mg \cdot g⁻¹) in leaf tissue of 'Rhubarb Red' or 'Oriole Orange' Swiss chard (*Beta vulgaris* subsp. cicla) grown under varying %NH₄-N:%NO₃-N ratios in nutrient solution culture.

	Carotenoids ^a								
Cultivar	LUT	BC	NEO	VIO	ANTH	ZEA			
Rhubarb Red	9.23 a	6.41 a	3.63 a	3.01 a	2.60 a	0.43 a			
Oriole Orange	8.21 b	5.87 b	3.46 a	2.62 b	2.76 a	0.47 a			
P-Value ^b	**	*	ns	**	ns	ns			
	Xanthophylls ^a		Chlorophylls ^a		Biomass ^a				
	ZAV	ZA/ZAV	Chl a	Chl b	FM	DM			
Rhubarb Red	6.03 a	0.50 b	111.32 a	32.73 a	13.31 a	0.82 b			
Oriole Orange	5.85 a	0.56 a	99.33 b	31.61 a	14.79 a	1.32 a			
P-Value ^b	ns	**	**	ns	ns	***			

^aAbbreviations: LUT = lutein; BC = β -carotene; NEO = neoxanthin; VIO = violaxanthin; ANTH = antheraxanthin; ZEA = zeaxanthin; ZAV = ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH/ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV ratio = ZEA + ANTH + VIO; ZA/ZAV rat VIO; Chl a = Cholrophyll a; Chl b = Cholrophyll b; FM = Fresh Mass; DM = Dry Mass. The standard error of the mean was LUT ± 0.61 , BC ± 0.61 , NEO ± 0.31 , VIO ± 0.21 , ANTH ± 0.27 , ZEA ± 0.08 , ZAV \pm 0.27, ZA/ZAV \pm 0.27, Chl $a \pm$ 0.27, Chl $b \pm$ 0.27, Fresh Mass \pm 0.27, Dry Mass \pm 0.27. ^bns, *, **, and *** indicate nonsignificant or significant at $P \leq 0.05$, 0.01, 0.001, respectively.

Table 2. Mean carotenoid pigment concentrations expressed on a fresh mass (mg/100 g) basis in leaf tissue of Swiss chard (*Beta vulgaris* subsp. cicla) grown under varying %NH₄-:%NO₃-N in nutrient solution culture.

%NH ₄ -N:			Caro	otenoids ^a			$120 \qquad \qquad$
%NO ₃ -N	LUT	BC	NEO	VIO	ANTH	ZEA	
100:0	6.22 d	4.13 d	2.29 d	1.55 c	2.06 c	0.52 a	
75:25	8.75 b	6.42 b	3.61 b	3.27 b	2.72 ab	0.34 b	$\begin{bmatrix} 50 \\ 00 \\ 00 \\ 00 \\ 00 \\ 00 \\ 00 \\ 00 $
50:50	10.32 a	7.52 a	4.42 a	3.81 a	3.21 a	0.46 ab	$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 40 \\ v = -3.4679x^2 + 22.286x + 3.506 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ $
25:75	10.72 a	7.41 a	4.49 a	3.48 ab	3.22 a	0.48 ab	$10 \qquad 20 \qquad 0.2$
0:100	7.57 c	5.19 c	2.91 c	1.98 c	2.18 bc	0.44 ab	
P-Value ^b	***	***	***	***	***	ns	100% NH ₄ -N: 75% NH ₄ -N: 50% NH ₄ -N: 0% NH ₄ -N: 0% NH ₄ -N: 75% NH ₄ -N: 50% NH ₄ -N: 0% NH ₄ -N:
Contrast							Figure 3. The effect of NH_4 -N and NO_3 -N on the chlorophyll concentration in two genotypes (Rhubarb Red and Oriole Orange) of Swiss chard (<i>Beta vulgaris</i> subsp. cicla) leaf tissue.Figure 4. The effect of NH_4 -N and NO_3 -N on the xanthophyll cycle pigment ratios in two genotypes (Rhubarb Red and Oriole Orange) of Swiss chard (<i>Beta vulgaris</i> subsp. cicla) leaf tissue.
Quadratic	***	***	***	***	***	ns	Abbreviations: ZAV = Zeaxanthin + Antheroxanthin + Violaxanthin; ZA/ZAV ratio = Zeaxanthin + Antheroxanthin + Violaxanthin; ZA/ZAV ratio = Zeaxanthin + Antheroxanthin + Violaxanthin.
^a Abbreviations: LUT = 0.61 , BC \pm 0.61, NEO ^b ns, *, **, and *** indic	lutein; BC = β -carotene; ± 0.31 , VIO ± 0.21 , A cate nonsignificant or significant	NEO = neoxanthin; V NTH \pm 0.27, ZEA \pm nificant at $P < 0.05$. 0.	TIO = violaxanthin; ANTH = 0.08.	antheraxanthin; ZEA = zeaz	xanthin. The standard error	of the mean was LUT \pm	Results

140



Figure 1. Effect of NH₄-N and NO₃-N on fresh mass (FM) and dry mass (DW) accumulation in two genotypes (Rhubarb Red and Oriole Orange) of Swiss chard (Beta vulgaris subsp. cicla) leaf tissue.



Figure 2. 'Rhubarb Red' and 'Oriole Orange' Swiss chard grown under varying %NH₄- $N:\%NO_3$ -N ratios in nutrient solution culture.

0.9



Table 3. Mean carotenoid and chlorophyll pigment concentrations expressed in fresh mass (mg/100 g) and biomass accumulation (mg·g⁻¹) in leaf tissue of Swiss chard (*Beta vulgaris* subsp. cicla) grown under varying %NH₄-:%NO₃-N in nutrient solution culture at Mississippi State University (MS State) or the University of Tennessee (Univ. TN).

	Carotenoids ^a							
Location	LUT	BC	NEO	VIO	ANTH	ZEA		
MS State	7.27 b	5.86 b	3.13 b	3.13 a	3.59 a	0.69 a		
Univ. TN	10.17 a	6.41 a	3.96 a	2.50 b	1.77 b	0.20 b		
P-Value ^b	***	*	***	***	***	***		
	Xanthophylls ^a		Chlorophyll ^a		Biomass ^a			
	ZAV	ZA/ZAV	Chl a	Chl b	FM	DM		

'Rhubarb Red' had the highest concentrations of LUT, BC, and VIO in leaf tissues compared to the 'Oriole' Orange' (Table 1).

- Swiss chard CAR concentrations, except for ZEA, responded significantly to N form (**Table 2**). There were significant differences in LUT, BC, NEO, VIO, ANTH, and ZEA between the MSU and UT locations (**Table 3**).
- Swiss chard FM responded significantly to N form, and the interaction of N form and location (**Figure 1**).
- Swiss chard CHL concentrations had a significant positive quadratic response to N form in the leaf tissue (**Figure**) 3).
- There were significant differences in the total pool of xanthophyll cycle pigments of ZEA + ANTH + VIO when treated with different N forms in Swiss chard (Figure 4).

Conclusions



^b ns, *, **, and *** indicate nonsignificant or significant at $P \leq 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$, respectively.



1) Reif, C., E. Arrigoni, H. Scharer, L. Nystrom, and R.F. Hurrell. 2013. Carotenoid database of commonly eaten Swiss vegetables and their estimated contribution to carotenoid intake. J. Food Comp. Analysis 29:64-72. 2) Kopsell, D. A., D. E. Kopsell, M. G. Lefsrud, and J. Curran-Celentano. 2007. Carotenoid pigments in kale are influenced by nitrogen concentrations and form. J. Sci. Food Agric. 87: 900-907. NOTE: This material is based upon work that is supported by the NIFA, USDA Hatch project under accession number MIS 149160.